

Throwing Decision Making Workbook - Communicate as a Team

Throwing | Communicate as a Team | Asset ID CRIC-20-04-07

Purpose: This original printable decision making workbook helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with throwing while pursuing one defined outcome: make calls and feedback short, early and respectful. Its method is to read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to produce an accurate, safe return through footwork, alignment and suitable effort. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Pickup Setup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Crow Hop	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Shoulder Alignment	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	Release	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Target	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Recovery	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Continuity Starting Brief

- Mark: Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.
- Preserve: How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.
- Advance: What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.
- Log: Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.
- Resume: What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.
- Edition success means: finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings

Decision Making Workbook

This format is designed to improve choices by linking ball, field and match information. For throwing, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. The edition target is communicate as a team, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Mark Recovery	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?	Checkpoint / date	Open / checked
Preserve Pickup Setup	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?	Context record / date	Open / checked
Advance Crow Hop	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?	Completed segment / date	Open / checked
Log Shoulder Alignment	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?	Continuity note / date	Open / checked
Resume Release	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?	Restart point / date	Open / checked
Verify Target	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?	Chain review / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to throwing, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: agree roles and words before the drill
- Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: the segment has no completion rule.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-20-04-07 pairs decision making workbook with the outcome 'Communicate as a Team'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Analysis x Continuity Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a analysis method with a continuity outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - produce an accurate, safe return through footwork, alignment and suitable effort - into evidence for communicate as a team. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Question / Mark crow hop	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.	Question / Checkpoint
2	Measure / Preserve shoulder alignment	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.	Baseline / Context record
3	Analyse / Advance release	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.	Pattern / Completed segment
4	Contrast / Log target	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.	Alternative / Continuity note
5	Stress-test / Resume recovery	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.	Stress test / Restart point
6	Qualify / Verify pickup setup	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful? Verify that the chain remains complete and understandable.	Decision / Chain review

Common Failure Modes

- For crow hop, watch for the question is too broad together with the checkpoint is vague.
- For shoulder alignment, watch for the baseline is not comparable together with context is lost.
- For release, watch for one result is an outlier together with the segment has no completion rule.
- For target, watch for correlation is mistaken for cause together with an interruption goes unrecorded.
- For recovery, watch for the alternative fits equally well together with work resumes from a guess.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Communicate as a Team

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs analysis with continuity to pursue this objective: make calls and feedback short, early and respectful. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Communicate as a Team
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Continuity note	agree roles and words before the drill
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Define the exact analytical question.	Question / Checkpoint
5-10 min	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Capture a comparable baseline.	Baseline / Context record
10-18 min	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Examine the strongest pattern.	Pattern / Completed segment
18-24 min	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Test an alternative explanation.	Alternative / Continuity note
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Check the result under one changed condition.	Stress test / Restart point
27-30 min	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful? State a bounded conclusion.	Decision / Chain review

Analysis Pass Log

Analysis Pass	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Analytical Claim Test - Continuity Lens

This comparison tests throwing against communicate as a team through two independent questions. Format: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Target	_____	_____	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?
Recovery	_____	_____	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?
Pickup Setup	_____	_____	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?
Crow Hop	_____	_____	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?
Shoulder Alignment	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?
Release	_____	_____	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion?
Outcome question	Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
Acceptance lens	finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Analysis Confidence Check

- Checkpoint: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates baselines captured?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates segments completed?
- Challenge: could work resumes from a guess explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about recovery?

Analysis x Continuity Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for communicate as a team. Each card joins a analysis failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Recovery: the baseline is not comparable.	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?	Checkpoint
2	Pickup Setup: one result is an outlier.	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?	Context record
3	Crow Hop: correlation is mistaken for cause.	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?	Completed segment
4	Shoulder Alignment: the alternative fits equally well.	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?	Continuity note
5	Release: the conclusion overreaches.	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?	Restart point
6	Target: the question is too broad.	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?	Chain review

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Analysis and Continuity Evidence Tracker

Track communicate as a team across eight practice blocks using the analysis method and the continuity outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Recovery	_____	_____	_____
2	Pickup Setup	_____	_____	_____
3	Crow Hop	_____	_____	_____
4	Shoulder Alignment	_____	_____	_____
5	Release	_____	_____	_____
6	Target	_____	_____	_____
7	Recovery	_____	_____	_____
8	Pickup Setup	_____	_____	_____

Analysis Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Pickup Setup: Questions Bounded / Checkpoints Marked	_____	_____	_____
Crow Hop: Baselines Captured / Contexts Preserved	_____	_____	_____
Shoulder Alignment: Patterns Tested / Segments Completed	_____	_____	_____
Release: Alternatives Considered / Interruptions Logged	_____	_____	_____
Target: Limitations Stated / Restarts Evidenced	_____	_____	_____
Recovery: Conclusions Qualified / Chains Verified	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I left a checkpoint that is meaningful without relying on memory.
- I preserved interruptions and unresolved gaps as part of the record.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for communicate as a team improves without hiding work resumes from a guess.
- How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Analysis x Continuity Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this decision making workbook, the intended result was communicate as a team; the outcome test is: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? The close-out standard remains: finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?
- Subject check: How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?
- Format check: I tested at least one credible alternative explanation.
- Outcome check: I left a checkpoint that is meaningful without relying on memory.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-20-04-07. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.